

FROM THE STAFF REPORT FOR 12-7-00 MEETING, ITEM NO. 4

Results of LAFCO Postcard Survey

As authorized by the Commission on November 2, the staff conducted a post card survey of immediately east of the Goleta*Now!* proposal, specifically between Highway 101 and the foothills, and also of a mobile home park within the Goleta Old Town redevelopment area adjacent to Ward Memorial Boulevard.

The results seem to bear out the information that had been provided by Jack Hawxhurst, representing the Patterson Area Neighborhood Association (PANA). On the postcards returned a sizable opposition is voiced to including this area in the boundaries of the proposed incorporation.

We distributed 1,550 letters, with return addressed postage-paid postcards. We received 577 responses (or 37%) by Monday November 27. In response to the question: “Do you think your property/neighborhood should be included in the new city?” 59 % indicated “No,” 38 % said “Yes” and the remainder were undecided.

We color coded the postcards to evaluate the responses with respect to geographical areas: Red - immediately adjacent to the proposed incorporation, Blue - north of Patterson Avenue, Green - west of San Jose Creek, Purple - east of San Jose Creek and White - Rancho Goleta Mobile Home Park located south of Highway 101, at the southerly end of Ward Drive.

- Exhibit B identifies the geographic response areas in the Patterson Road area.
- Exhibit C summarizes the numeric results of the survey.
- Exhibit D has transcribed comments from the cards.

PROPOSED INCORPORATION OF THE CITY OF GOLETA

Results of Postcard Survey for the Western Portion of Study Module C

Area	Number Mailed	Number / % Returned	Include in City of Goleta	Do not Include in City of Goleta	Undecided
Blue	171	79 / 46 %	26 - 32%	51 - 65%	2
Green	610	227/ 37%	95 - 43%	125 – 56%	7

Purple	533	184 / 35%	65 - 35%	114 - 62%	5
Red	41	15 / 37%	7 - 46%	8 - 53%	
White	<u>200</u>	<u>72 / 36%</u>	<u>27 - 39%</u>	<u>41 - 56%</u>	<u>4</u>
	1,555	577 / 37%	220 / 38%	339 / 59%	18 / 3%

Red – Do not include my property/neighborhood in the new City of Goleta.

- I think you should exclude the 93111 from the proposed city.
- My zip is 93111. If I am not a part of Santa Barbara I no longer have client recognition. Don't make me part of Goleta!!
- Sorry to sound like an obstructionist but I like my Santa Barbara 93111 address – especially since the other side of the street is automatically included.

Red –Include my property/neighborhood in the new City of Goleta

- Only if UCSB/IV were not included in the incorporation area.
- I believe that the best regional solution is annexation to the City of Santa Barbara for the entire Goleta Valley
- Yes, Goleta should be a city.

Green – Do not include my property/neighborhood in the new City of Goleta

- I think the Goleta area needs local/concerned government/representation; however, I think of my area as more Santa Barbara than Goleta.
- Prefer to be part of the City of Santa Barbara
- I would like to continue to live in the county. As a County of Santa Barbara resident, not in a city.
- Leave me alone! I prefer status quo, want no part of IV!!! Zip 93111.
- We wanted annexation to the City of Santa Barbara!!! Our zip is 93111. Why duplicate services and have an island (SB Airport) in the middle of another city. It should all be Santa Barbara.
- I would like to maintain my Santa Barbara address.
- NO.
- I am in favor of annexation to S.B. If this neighborhood is not included and Goleta passes as now postulated the chance of our joining Santa Barbara would, I hope, increase.

- I would vote for the new city only if the entire Goleta Valley is included.
- We are well represented by the County Board of Supervisors. No city is necessary. We are opposed to any attempt to incorporate or annex this area.
- We believe the entire area should be annexed to the City of Santa Barbara.
- The new City should not include Isla Vista. Isla Vista would siphon off too much money. It is also composed of a transient population that could influence the destiny of the entire valley.
- I'd like my current address being Santa Barbara instead of Goleta! Name recognition is a cold hard fact. Please don't change my address, city and zip code.
- I don't think Goleta should be a separate city.
- Do not want another layer of government!!
- I feel that the area must incorporate into a city. I would prefer the entire area be incorporated or annexed by Santa Barbara City, as there really are no separations between the two.
- We like it the way it is.
- We would definitely prefer annexation to Santa Barbara, but now would be for incorporation of part of the Goleta Valley – but not if our area is included. We want our Santa Barbara address and “connections.” Much of Goleta needs to determine its own future through incorporation, though.
- I like annexation to Santa Barbara.
- I want to keep my Santa Barbara address. Santa Barbara incorporating would be fine.
- I prefer to stay Santa Barbara. – I believe this area should be included in the City annexation plan of the past. But let Goleta be its own boss. Thank you.
- We do not need another city. What we need is to be incorporated into the City of Santa Barbara and have more Supervisors added to the Board that are from the County and Goleta areas!!
- Although I don't particularly care to be within the City of Goleta, I will vote yes because it is absolutely imperative that the City begin. The boundaries should be selected to insure a very high probability of cityhood passing.
- Isla Vista inclusion would be a barrier to my vote for incorporation.

- Having a “Santa Barbara” address is much more glamorous and even internationally recognizable.
- I am for Santa Barbara annexation.
- Cityhood has been proposed several times in the past and we have expressed our wishes. I am disgusted it is again on the agenda, no doubt because of the Costco (big box) and Bacara taxes. After 35 years in the area, I am well satisfied with the status quo and County services.
- Make Cambridge Drive the east boundary.
- Annexation to Santa Barbara because we share the same cultural, water, air, transportation and sewer (ocean) resources.
- I have lived in Goleta 70 years. If we become a city I am leaving. I see no need to become a city; we have everything we need now.
- Annexation to Santa Barbara is the best option.
- This neighborhood should be annexed by Santa Barbara. Our taxes are used and controlled by Santa Barbara not by our local wishes.
- Annexation!
- How many times must we tell you – NO!
- It’s unfortunate that we do not have a choice of annexation with the City of Santa Barbara
- We are for annexation to Santa Barbara. We believe Goleta and Santa Barbara should be one city.
- Prefer annexation to the City of Santa Barbara – or remain unincorporated.
- I think the Board of Supervisors decision to kill the Santa Barbara annexation was terrible, shortsighted and completely selfish!
- The proposed boundaries by the conservative Goleta*Now!* group are absurd. In fact, the idea of incorporation, of cityhood is ridiculous. Another mayor, city council, clerks, etc – we don’t need them. Too bad Santa Barbara city folks don’t want to annex us.
- My house will be worthless with a Goleta address.

- I think should have only one large city in this whole area. We all should be included in the City of Santa Barbara.
- We don't need more layers of government bureaucracy and bull*** to raise more and more taxes and steal more and more money from the taxpayers who earn it!!
- Annexation to the city of Santa Barbara would be a better deal for the whole area, since the city hall, policy headquarters, etc. are already in existence.
- My Final Answer!
- My address is Santa Barbara. I think Santa Barbara should annex.
- I have not been able to thus far discern the advantages of a change in status. There seem to be an abundance of good reasons not to make the move at this time.
- Think the new city should at this time be as currently proposed by *GoletaNow!* Other areas can be annexed later.
- At this point I would like to see how the new City of Goleta does before joining them. I would not like joining the new city if UCSB and Isla Vista were included.
- Our mailing address is Santa Barbara 93111. We are quite a distance from the center of the proposed City of Goleta.
- We do not want to be part of the Goleta City. We look forward to a South Coast Regional Authority.
- This issue has been decided by the voters on a number of occasions. Another layer of government – many are not sure what is accomplished. It is not needed. How many No's are required by the voters?
- I am concerned about additional taxes to fund the “new” city and emergency services provisions.
- *GoletaNow!* has done a fabulous job – support them! Don't sabotage them with Isla Vista – no way. Just like Hope Ranch “Regionalism”? If Montecito and Hope Ranch go for it, fine. Not going to happen – leave I.V. out.
- We are ambivalent – there are good arguments for and against both questions.
- I am undecided. Have not given it much thought because I assumed we would not be included within the boundaries.

- There has been excessive gerrymandering and politically ambitious persons. We can't afford new mayoral and staff salaries. Santa Barbara will better limit and protect the land and resources and development of Santa Barbara County. No one asked if I and others want Santa Barbara annexation. We do.
- I think this area and all of Goleta should be included in Santa Barbara City.
- Two voters at this house will vote against cityhood. Unbridled growth would follow cityhood to secure a tax base.
- Incorporation with the City of Santa Barbara is the only option I support.
- Do not want to be included in another level/entity of government. The Santa Barbara County should be better managed and tax base used for what it was set up for. Do not want to pay \$ to save Goleta. Without the airport and UCSB, Goleta or the County will always be tax short.
- No! No! No! How many times do we have to vote against this before you get it!!! I would fight it anyway I could including the courts.
- Wish to remain in Santa Barbara boundaries. Concern over growth of City of Goleta.

Green – Include my property/neighborhood in the new City of Goleta

- Thank you for reaching out to the surrounding community for our comments. Previously I voted against cityhood. I am now a full supporter of the concept.
- I wouldn't want my area included if a majority of my neighbors will vote against cityhood. I think it is more important to create a city of Goleta at this time than for my neighborhood to be included.
- Incorporation should occur, even without my property.
- A city of Goleta makes no sense if areas of Goleta are excluded – and that goes for Isla Vista as well. I would not vote for the new city if Isla Vista weren't part of it.
- Both my wife and I believe we should be included and want to be included. However, our neighbors constantly vote against. Times have possibly changed but we do not fault Goleta*Now!* for excluding us.
- I'm concerned about property values, would the postal address still be Santa Barbara on the 93111 zip code? Maybe values would go down if the address changed to Goleta. However, I am tired of driving on damaged roads and want Goleta dollars used in Goleta. I don't want to be a part of Santa Barbara City.

- Goleta is way overdue for cityhood.
- I do not believe in cherry picking. Put us all in one community called Santa Barbara.
- Isla Vista should not be included because it is basically a transient community because of UCSB. I do not want students who are only here for four years voting on anything that is going to cost me for the next 30 years. Everything else is okay!
- Isla Vista should be included.
- Take the good with what is considered bad – 93111 and Isla Vista – get it all or 20 years from now it will be gone.
- Not that we relish the idea of another layer of government, but being owners of some property in downtown Goleta, we'd like a say in decisions. The present boundaries proposed definitely should be incorporated with a new City of Goleta. Thanks for saying no to Santa Barbara!
- My wife and I would be happy to be included in the City of Goleta.
- If we are in the Goleta School District, we should be in a Goleta City! I do not think our part of the area should be excluded. I am strongly in favor of incorporation, but only if this area is included. If we were not, and I were able to vote, I would vote against.
- We support Goleta cityhood.
- Exclude Isla Vista. They can have their own cityhood that they duly deserve.
- I want to see a ballot with 3 choices: status quo, merge with city, form a separate city. Both of the latter options should include all "urban areas!"
- I support a single metropolitan area from Montecito to Winchester Canyon. Let us duke it out to consensus at the local level and present a single entity to the rest of the world.
- I feel all of this area from S.B. city line out beyond Bacara should be included. Also, Isla Vista and the University property.
- The boundaries for the city of Goleta should include the entire Goleta Valley, the same area as proposed in the annexation plan and include Isla Vista and Embarcadero.
- City of Goleta should start at Santa Barbara city Limit. Should not include UCSB and I.V. Thanks for asking.

- I think annexation to the city of S.B. was the best solution.
- We do not want to be left in a "no man's land"!
- We need more parks and "quality of life" protection in Goleta. I want some sort of city, either joining Santa Barbara or making our own city. P.S. Don't leave out Isla Vista.
- If we're not included, we will be in "no man's land"! I feel the Goleta*Now!* boundaries should extend to the Santa Barbara city limits. Exclude Isla Vista and USCB.
- By creating a city maybe we'd get streets and sidewalks repaired with our own taxes collected.
- I believe the incorporation should include east to 154, south to Ocean, west to Farren Road and north to and including the foothills.
- I do all my shopping basically in Goleta or by mail order. I feel I would get better representation government wide from Goleta.
- Goleta cityhood is crucial to the health of the south coast! I would gladly not be included if I thought it would help Goleta be incorporated. I would love to be included but not if it would cause too many naysayers in my area to defeat cityhood.
- I think the city with just 93117 is a disservice to greater Goleta.
- [I would support cityhood] Only if Isla Vista is excluded from the Goleta Cityhood.
- I feel the boundaries should include the area north of San Jose Creek!
- Why not? Think new city would mean lower property taxes and residents could vote to keep out greedy developers.
- The present City of Goleta proposal leaves a large area in a state of no man's land without representation and needed services.
- The time for this is overdue.
- Limbo-land is no place to be!
- Approval on the condition that IV and UCSB be excluded.
- We do not want to be disenfranchised as County residents in a small strip of unincorporated land. Get the City going soon – or at least a vote.

- Any new city should include all homes in the area outside of Santa Barbara, including El Capitan and Ellwood areas. No isolated sections!
- Good luck! Please preserve our Prop. 13 status.
- Hopefully, there would not be higher or duplicate taxes and fees.
- Had hoped for annexation to Santa Barbara. I think the County Commissioners made a political decision to favor their power base. Please exclude I.V. – don't want to be outvoted by thousand of student who won't suffer the consequences of their voting.
- Prefer annexation to Santa Barbara.
- Thanks for asking.
- The city boundaries proposed by Goleta*Now!* should be given first consideration. Extending boundaries should only be done if residents are overwhelming in favor.

Purple– Do not include my property/neighborhood in the new City of Goleta city

- I feel that it would be in everyone's best interest to incorporate Montecito and Goleta into the City or leave it like it is
- Goleta Oldtown can e revived. Do it.
- We don't want anything involving a new city.
- Thank you for your inquiry. Please exclude the 93111 zip from your Goleta city boundaries. This area has voted very heavily against incorporation in past elections. Annexation by Santa Barbara would be far superior. They already control much of the Valley. Thank you again for your consideration.
- Eastern boundary should be Cambridge Drive.
- I would rather stay as it now is or be included in the City of Santa Barbara.
- My shopping and interests are in the City of Santa Barbara and would like to be a part of that city.
- We support cityhood if annexation is no longer an option but the majority of our neighborhood does not and it would lessen the change of passing if this area is included.
- We like it the way it is.

- The City of Goleta started at Turnpike and ended at Encanto. The boundary keeps moving out of convenience and not out of logic. Goleta City as now proposed only takes the “cream” off the top.
- In prior elections the people in our area have voted the measure down. It will not be any different this time. Include us out!
- It is better to be governed by the City of Santa Barbara.
- It works well now!
- We have not perceived any benefits that suggest a change from the status quo. We remain very interested in these proposals and both look forward to reviewing more information in due course.
- We believe that the entire South Coast should be in one city. That should include from Summerland thru Gaviota.
- My area should be part of Santa Barbara
- Do not revise the last boundary recommendation.
- I would vote for Goleta seeking to incorporate itself, as separate from Santa Barbara, but only if the boundaries remain as suggested (“Kellogg” as east boundary line).
- I was given no choice nor voice in annexation to the City of Santa Barbara or the City of Goleta.
- I’m happy with the status quo. I don’t want to pay the extra costs associated with cityhood.
- If cityhood must be, I would prefer annexation to Santa Barbara
- Situation could change – present aspirants for office do not appear to be an improvement.
- We don’t need the added expense of another layer of politicians – enough is enough is enough
- I think the City of Santa Barbara should annex Goleta Valley and I’m mad as hell at the Board of Supervisors.
- It makes more sense to be one City of Santa Barbara.

- No cityhood for Goleta!
- We like it as is.
- Thank you for the opportunity to voice my opinion. I like my Santa Barbara address and want to keep it. I have lived here 26 years and I've never lived in Goleta.
- The whole area of Santa Barbara City and Goleta should be under one bureaucratic rule of the City! If the County Supervisors do not negotiate this – then they should all be recalled.
- I understand that I am in the area possibly annexed to the City of Santa Barbara, which would please me. Thank you.
- Only if Isla Vista is included in this new city will I even consider it.
- There should be a City of Goleta. However, I would be forced to vote against cityhood were our property included.
- Status quo is okay with us.
- Greater Goleta should be incorporated into one Santa Barbara community with proportionate area representation. This larger community would have more power to keep up infrastructure, roads, etc. and improving the airport, a vital community asset which now cannot accommodate larger aircraft. Companies that cannot have easy air access are held back from located here. Santa Barbara – Goleta only has north-south passage.
- If the city provide to be successful, I might support annexation I the future.
- I like things the way they are – for me.
- We should be one city from Santa Barbara to Gaviota. We have all the same problems, same needs. Why duplicate services. Two cities will cost us more in the long run and not solve our problems.
- I think it would devalue my property.
- We would like our property to be included I the city of Santa Barbara. In addition, we have an aversion to the name “Goleta” although it’s not half as bad as Oxnard.

Purple – Include my property/neighborhood in the new City of Goleta

- Bottom line – property should be par of the City of Santa Barbara – however if there is a vote for City of Goleta, property should be included, then voted down!

- Was reluctant to be included with Isla Vista area but now our choice is limited.
- Exclude Isla Vista and UCSB
- No farther east than Patterson. No Isla Vista.
- Note: I preferred annexation to Santa Barbara
- County government has been raping Goleta for 35 years. At \$4 million/year that's \$140 million. You would of thought they could have given us a fairer hearing on annexation. To think of County rule without the majority of Goleta's revenue producers would be the ultimate injustice. Do not let it happen!
- Would prefer to be part of Santa Barbara!
- Isla Vista should be excluded and all of Eastern Goleta should not be included. A logical, coherent and defensible Eastern boundary for a city of Goleta would be Patterson Avenue from the mountains to the ocean, including the Oldtown Redevelopment area.
- I think that we need to take control of our area to ensure the quality of life improves and that development is limited and planned for our future, not to make money for developers whose job is to develop any undeveloped area and then move on.
- Although I am in a 9311 area, I think Goleta should become a city with or without our area. I worked on cityhood some years. Santa Barbara pols. have given us short shrift.
- Boundaries should be ocean on the south, Cathedral Oaks on the north, Patterson on the east and Los Carneros/Fairview on the west.
- I do not want IV or UCSB in the new Goleta.
- I would very much like to have a city of Goleta, but not if Isla Vista is included.
- Like the Santa Barbara address, but don't like the idea of living in a no-man's land.
- Zip is 93111.
- 1. Not if Isla Vista is included. 2. Not if Camino Real Marketplace and Bacara Resort are excluded.
- I would prefer annexation to Santa Barbara.

- We do not want to be part of Santa Barbara!
- The entire community of Goleta should be included in any cityhood proposal. County island should not be created.
- We feel that Goleta cityhood should encompass the entire Goleta Valley.
- The area of Santa Barbara near Patterson and Cathedral Oaks is more connected to Goleta than Santa Barbara.
- Thank you for considering our area (between Patterson and San Jose Creek). I believe that Goleta should become a city in its own right.
- I can't believe some would exclude the historical beginning site of Goleta! (Patterson and Hollister)
- We would prefer to be in Santa Barbara, but want to be OUT of the County.
- I think it's important to not fall between the cracks of Santa Barbara and Goleta. It also seems that my neighborhood is scheduled for many new building projects and I'd like to see that curtailed. Thank you for asking.
- Please include Santa Barbara County west of Patterson. Thank you.
- It's a good idea – way past due.
- It makes much more sense to have the boundary at Patterson.
- While I support the new city of Goleta, my first choice would be annexation by the City of Santa Barbara as earlier proposed by the City of Santa Barbara.
- It would seem that there would be very little tax money available to that county area outside of the boundaries of the current Goleta city proposal to perform the necessary county functions.
- I would vote against incorporation into the City of Santa Barbara.
- Much prefer option of annexation to Santa Barbara.
- Taking the boundary to Patterson make sense. It is also the dividing line for schools in this area.
- Leave out Isla Vista until Goleta becomes a city.
- I would rather be annexed to Santa Barbara but want to be represented.

- I would like more information on the ramifications pro-con of cityhood.
- After what the City of Santa Barbara did I don't want any part of their "grab." This is a change of view for me.

Blue– Do not include my property/neighborhood in the new City of Goleta

- I favor annexation to the City of Santa Barbara
- I would like to see a Goleta city, but not to go in as far as we live, which is in Rancho Del Ciervo.
- We live in the Rancho Del Ciervo area, which almost 90% against the Goleta Cityhood.
- Isla Vista student community is the problem. Why should we be dominated by a non-permanent resident community?
- I'm quite disappointed that the County Supervisors did not have the vision that a more unified South Coast Government is the best long-term solution.
- Previous annexation attempts rejected by 80% of the residents without regard to inclusion of exclusion of other neighborhood. This door-to-door poll included all 170 parcels in neighborhood.
- I would like my area to be annexed by the City of Santa Barbara. The best solution would be for all of Goleta to be annexed to Santa Barbara.
- I see no advantage, only negatives to becoming a city.
- I have lived here since 1969 and prefer it remain as is.
- I would be in favor of annexation by Santa Barbara.
- We believe that we should be in an incorporated city, but believe the *GoletaNow!* boundaries are too restricted. We believe the Goleta area should be annexed to Santa Barbara. If this is not possible, the 93111 should be annexed to Santa Barbara.
- Would prefer that my area would be annexed by the City of Santa Barbara. The best solution is to have the City of Santa Barbara annex all of Goleta.
- Goleta should be part of Santa Barbara, not a separate city.
- I believe we should convince County Supervisors that annexation is the most sensible approach.

- I resent the County overriding the proposed annexation to Santa Barbara.
- I have a Santa Barbara address and would not want a Goleta address.
- I think we should be a part of Santa Barbara. I do not support another new governing body, more overhead and more bureaucracy.
- The Goleta*Now!* proposed boundaries should not be altered.
- I think this area would be best suited towards incorporation in the city of Santa Barbara.
- We want to be part of Santa Barbara city.
- We are completely opposed to new cityhood and completely opposed to the city of Santa Barbara
- I prefer annexation.

Blue – Include my property/neighborhood in the new City of Goleta

- We would prefer annexation to Santa Barbara – we are one South Coast community.
- First preference is for inclusion in Santa Barbara.
- However, we would not vote for incorporation of a city whose boundaries include Isla Vista.
- You have 4 votes at this residence for the new City of Goleta. We were totally against annexation into the City of Santa Barbara.
- Simply put: We feel strongly that Goleta become incorporated in order that we e able to have a municipal organization and become a fully functional entity. We do not care if we might lose our Santa Barbara postmark.
- I prefer annexation to the City of Santa Barbara over the formation of a new City of Goleta
- As residents of the north Patterson area, we recommend a city of Goleta which includes our eastern side and the area of Isla Vista and UCSB. Any other configuration is not acceptable.
- Provided Isla Vista is not included in the City of Goleta. If I.V. is included then I am against cityhood.

- I live in the 93111 zip code (County). Goleta City would not have included us in its boundaries. I would like to be part of the City of Goleta.
- I would like to be annexed by Santa Barbara.
- “Yes” if UCSB/I.V. not included. “No” if UCSB/I.V. is included.
- My vote for cityhood will depend on the final boundaries and financial considerations. IV should be included! If my neighborhood is next to city without ability to vote on it I will feel cheated.
- I would have preferred annexation by Santa Barbara, but since that opportunity has been denied to our community, I would back Goleta cityhood for a more extended area than originally proposed.
- I suspect many resident in my area (and others outside the proposed boundaries) may respond negatively to this survey, but would ultimately support inclusion in a new city if given better knowledge of issues that have developed or changed since previous cityhood elections.
- The inclusion of Isla Vista in the new city would cause reconsideration of [my support]. The idea of thirty thousand transients influencing the vote of serious issues is unacceptable.
- It is important that we, as an area, have control over our own destiny in the matter of development.

White – Include my property/neighborhood in the new City of Goleta

- I don’t think Santa Barbara would represent us well. We would be a tax base but not be considered as an individual area.
- My property should be included in the new City of Goleta rather than the City of Santa Barbara
- I believe in a regional approach to local governance, but since that possibility seems to be dead, I support Goleta incorporation if we are included.
- Why should we be excluded? We want our taxes used properly, same as others.
- I think all of Goleta should be included, including Isla Vista and other pieces arbitrarily cut out of the whole Goleta pie by various persons/groups.
- Will wait for proper fiscal analysis and knowledge of proposed boundaries before making decision.

- The whole urbanized area of Goleta should be part of a city. Two cities contiguous to each other is a mistake. We should be one city, the City of Santa Barbara.
- 93111 cannot vote on all city or county issues because of the status of 93111. 93111 in sort of “limbo” as far as voting is concerned.
- My zip is 93111. I think from Santa Barbara City west to San Jose Creek should be called Santa Barbara, beyond San Joes Creek should be Goleta. Don’t make it complicated; the above is an obvious decision.
- If my property taxes are less because I live in Goleta, then I am all for incorporation, if not then I paid a lot of money for a Santa Barbara address and I want to keep it affiliated with Santa Barbara.
- I want to be annexed to the City of Santa Barbara.
- It would just raise taxes

White – Do not include my property/neighborhood in the new City of Goleta

- I think we should “bribe” Santa Barbara to change their mind. A new city would be higher taxes. Two-three councilpersons are cheaper than a whole board.
- We still favor being part of the City of Santa Barbara.
- I continue to think the Santa Barbara annexation is best for the South Coast, including all Goleta Valley.
- Thanks for giving us an opinion.
- I am somewhat familiar with the argument for cityhood, and it makes sense. However, I am selfishly concerned about the quality of administration and services a new city of Goleta would potentially provide. Also, vanity, snobbery. I like my Santa Barbara address.
- I anything I would prefer to be incorporated into the City of Santa Barbara. I live in that “no-where” area of 93111.
- I have a Santa Barbara address and I would it to remain so.
- I like it the way it is, and I think it should remain that way.
- We want less government not more and favor annexation to Santa Barbara, but that was thrown out.

- I do believe that being included in a city called “Goleta” the property values will be negatively impacted. This thanks to the County for their building plan incompetence.
- It would just raise taxes.
- I cannot add anything more than I have already said in previous e-mail to LAFCO. 217 is a reasonable and well defined city limit for the proposed town of Goleta. Neither we nor our neighbors want any part of the proposed town . . . now or ever.
- Goleta is doing fine just the way it is – leave it as it is. Mobile home parks are better off in the County.
- I favor annexation if not all, at least part of the eastern Goleta Valley and if a city is formed it should include UCSB and Isla Vista.
- We feel more interested in Santa Barbara than in Goleta. There are frequently ballot issues that are of interest to us that only Santa Barbara residents can vote on.
- I would like to be in the City of Santa Barbara.
- Forget the City of Goleta. Annex us to the City of Santa Barbara.